

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/767,189	Applicant(s) PANTINI, GIOVANNI	
	Examiner James H. Alstrum-Acevedo	Art Unit 1616	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) James H. Alstrum-Acevedo.

(3) _____

(2) Ms. Amy E. L. Schoenhard, Esq. (Attorney).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 October 2006

Time: 2:50 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-11 and 21-27

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Alstrum-Acevedo called Attorney Schoenhard to obtain permission to correct the dependencies of renumbered claims 9, 14-15, and 17-18 and correct the improper dependency of previous claim 6. See supplemental Examiner's Amendment. Attorney Schoenhard approved the changes..